arXiv:1210.8122v1 [math.SP] 30 Oct 2012

On maximality of known extremal metrics on torus and Klein bottle

Mikhail A. Karpukhin

Abstract

El Soufi-Ilias' theorem establishes a connection between minimal submanifolds of spheres and extremal metrics for eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Recently, this connection was used to provide several explicit examples of extremal metrics. We investigate the maximality of these metrics and prove that all of them are not maximal.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58E11, 58J50, 35P15.

Key words and phrases. Extremal metric, Otsuki tori, Lawson tau-surfaces, bipolar surface.

Introduction

Let M be a closed surface and g be a Riemannian metric on M. Then the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ acts on the space of smooth functions on M by the formula

$$\Delta f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big(\sqrt{|g|}g^{ij}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}\big).$$

The spectrum of Δ consists only of eigenvalues. Let us denote them by

$$0 = \lambda_0(M,g) < \lambda_1(M,g) \leqslant \lambda_2(M,g) \leqslant \lambda_3(M,g) \leqslant \dots,$$

where the eigenvalues are written with their multiplicities.

Let us introduce the following family of functionals,

$$\Lambda_i(M,g) = \lambda_i(M,g)\,\mathrm{Area}(M,g).$$

Several recent papers [5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 16, 18, 19, 23] deal with finding supremum of these functionals in the space of all Riemannian metrics on M.

An upper bound for $\Lambda_1(M,g)$ in terms of genus of M was provided in the paper [23] and the existence of such a bound for $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ was shown in the paper [13]. The exact upper bounds are known for a limited number of functionals: $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2,g)$ (see the paper [8]), $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{RP}^2,g)$ (see the paper [16]), $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ (see the paper [18]), $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{K}\mathrm{l},g)$ (see the papers [5, 11]), $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2,g)$ (see the paper [19]). We refer to the introduction to the paper [22] for more details.

The functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ depends continously on g but this functional is not differentiable. However, Berger proved in the paper [1] that for an analytic deformation g_t there exist the left and right derivatives of $\Lambda_i(M,g_t)$ with respect to t. This is a motivation for the following definition, see the papers [6, 18].

Definition 1. *A Riemannian metric g on a closed surface M is called an extremal metric for a functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ if for any analytic deformation g_t such that $g_0 = g$ the following inequality holds,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_i(M,g_t)\Big|_{t=0+} \leqslant 0 \leqslant \frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_i(M,g_t)\Big|_{t=0-}.$$

Definition 2. *A metric g is called a maximal metric for a functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ if for any metric h on M*

$$\Lambda_i(M,g) \geqslant \Lambda_i(M,h).$$

In some cases there is no extremal metric. For example there is no (smooth) maximal metric for $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2,g)$ (see the paper [19]).

The list of known extremal metrics is longer than the list of known exact upper bounds for $\Lambda_i(M,g)$, but until now their maximality has not been studied. In the present paper we investigate the maximality of the known extremal metrics. The list of currently known extremal metrics follows.

(A) Metrics on the Otsuki tori $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$ were studied in the paper [22].

(B) Metrics on the Lawson tori and Klein bottles $\tau_{m,k}$ were studied in the paper [21].

(C) Metrics on the surfaces $\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}$ bipolar to Lawson surfaces were studied in the paper [14].

(D) Metrics on the bipolar surfaces $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ to Otsuki tori were studied in the paper [12].

The definitions of these surfaces are given in the following sections. The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There are no maximal metrics among the metrics (A)-(D).*

We also prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *The metric on the Clifford torus is extremal for an infinite number of functionals $\Lambda_i(M,g)$, but it is not maximal for any of them.*

The extremality of the Clifford torus for an infinite number of functionals $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ is known, but to the best of author's knowledge has not yet been published. In the present paper we fill this gap.

In the following description we use the notations $K(k)$, $E(k)$ and $\Pi(n,k)$ for the elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kind respectively, see e.g. book [2],

$$K(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-k^2x^2}}\,dx, \qquad E(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-k^2x^2}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\,dx,$$

$$\Pi(n,k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-nx^2)\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-k^2x^2}}\,dx.$$

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 1 we prove lower bounds for $\sup\Lambda_n(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ and $\sup\Lambda_n(\mathbb{K},g)$. These bounds are used throughout the paper in order to prove the non-maximality of metrics (A)-(D). In Section 2.2 we recall a connection between extremal metrics and minimal submanifolds of the unit sphere. Section 2.3 contains a discription of Otsuki tori as an $SO(2)$-invariant minimal submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^3$ of cohomogeneity 1. Sections 2.4, 3, 4, 5 are dedicated to estimates for extremal metrics (A)-(D) respectively and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, Section 6 contains the proof of Proposition 1.

1 Lower bounds for $\sup \Lambda_n$

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition (see also Corollary 4 in the paper [4]).

Proposition 2. *One has the following inequalities,*

$$\sup \Lambda_n(\mathbb{T}, g) \geqslant 8\pi \left(n - 1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \right),$$

$$\sup \Lambda_n(\mathbb{K}, g) \geqslant 8\pi(n-1) + 12\pi E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \right),$$

where $E(k)$ stands for the elliptic integral of the second kind.

1.1 Attaching handles due to Chavel-Feldman Let M be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geqslant 2$. Let us pick two distinct points $p_1, p_2 \in M$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we define

$$B_\varepsilon \ : = \text{union of open geodesic balls of radius } \varepsilon \text{ about } p_1 \text{ and } p_2,$$

$$\Omega_\varepsilon \ : = M \backslash B_\varepsilon,$$

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon \ : = \partial B_\varepsilon = \partial \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Here the number ε is chosen to be less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of injectivity radius of M and less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of a distance between p_1 and p_2 if p_1 and p_2 lie in the same connected component of M. We say that manifold M_ε is obtained from M by adding a handle across Γ_ε if

1) Ω_ε is isometrically embedded in M_ε;
2) there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi_\varepsilon \colon M_\varepsilon \backslash \Omega_{2\varepsilon} \to [-1,1] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$M_\varepsilon \backslash \Omega_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right).$$

Let us denote by λ_j and $\lambda_j(\varepsilon)$ the Laplace spectrum of M and M_ε respectively. Chavel and Feldman in their paper [3] obtained a sufficient condition for convergence $\lambda_j(\varepsilon) \to \lambda_j$ as ε tends to 0. In order to formulate this condition we need to give the following definition.

Definition 3. *For any compact connected Riemannian manifold X of dimension $n \geqslant 2$, the isoperimetric constant $c_1(X)$ is defined by*

$$c_1(X) = \inf_Y \frac{(\mathrm{Vol}_{n-1}(Y))^n}{(\min(\mathrm{Vol}_n(X_1), \mathrm{Vol}_n(X_2)))^{n-1}},$$

where Vol_k stands for k-dimensional Riemannian measure, and Y ranges over all compact $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifolds of X suh that they divide X into 2 open submanifolds X_1, X_2 each having boundary Y.

Theorem 2 (Chavel, Feldman [3]). *Assume that M_ε is connected for any ε and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $c_1(M_\varepsilon) \geqslant c$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lambda_j(\varepsilon) = \lambda_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots$*

Remark 1. The assumption in the previous theorem implies $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathrm{Vol}_n(M_\varepsilon) = \mathrm{Vol}_n(M)$ by picking $Y = \Gamma_\varepsilon$.

In the same paper existance of such M_ε is verified in case $n = 2$.

Theorem 3. *Let M be a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, K be its Gaussian curvature and $\tilde{M} = (M\backslash K^{-1}(0)) \cup \operatorname{int} K^{-1}(0)$. Then $\tilde{M}$ is open and dense in M. Suppose that $p_1, p_2 \in \tilde{M}$ and one of the following possibilities occur*

- *M is connected,*
- *M has two connected components and p_i lie in different connected components.*

Then M_ε can be constructed so that assumption of Theorem 2 holds. In particular, $\operatorname{Area}(M_\varepsilon) \to \operatorname{Area}(M)$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Remark 2. Let us remark that Chavel and Feldman considered only the case of a connected manifold M, but their arguments could be extended almost without changes to the non-connected case as stated above.

1.2 Proof of Proposition 2.

Let us remark that for the equilateral torus τ_{eq} of volume $\dfrac{4\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$ one has $\lambda_1(\tau_{eq}) = 2$. The same is true for the euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ of volume 4π. Suppose we have $n-1$ copies of $\mathbb{S}^2$ denoted by S_i, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Thus for $T_n = \tau_{eq} \coprod_{i=1}^{n-1} S_i$ one has $\lambda_n(T_n) = 2$ and therefore $\Lambda_n(T_n) = 8\pi\left(n - 1 + \dfrac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$. Consecutive application of Theorem 3 yields the existance of the sequence M_ε, diffeomorphic to torus, such that $\Lambda_n(M_\varepsilon) \to \Lambda_n(T_n)$ as ε tends to 0. This observation completes the proof of the first inequality.

The second inequality can be proved in the same fashion. The only difference is that instead of τ_{eq} one has to use Lawson bipolar Klein bottle $\tilde{\tau}_{3,1}$ (see Section 4 for a defintion). It was proven in the paper [11] that $\Lambda_1(\tilde{\tau}_{3,1}) = 12\pi E\left(\dfrac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right)$. By a suitable rescaling of the metric on $\tilde{\tau}_{3,1}$, one can assume that $\lambda_1(\tilde{\tau}_{3,1}) = 2$ and then apply construction of the previous paragraph.

2 Otsuki tori

2.1 Connection with minimal submanifolds of the sphere.

Let $\psi\colon M \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^n$ be a minimal immersion in the unit sphere with canonical metric g_{can}. We denote by Δ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M associated with the metric $\psi^* g_{can}$. Let us introduce the Weyl's eigenvalues counting funcion

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{i | \lambda_i(M, g) < \lambda\}.$$

The following theorem provides a general approach to finding smooth extremal metrics.

Theorem 4 (El Soufi, Ilias, [7])**.** *Let $\psi\colon M \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^n$ be a minimal immersion in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ endowed with the canonical metric g_{can}. Then the metric $\psi^* g_{can}$ on M is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{N(2)}(M, g)$.*

Therefore, it is possible to consider a minimal submanifold N of the unit sphere then compute $N(2)$ and the metric induced on N by this immersion is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{N(2)}(N, g)$. However, for a given minimal submanifold there is no straightforward way to compute the exact value of $N(2)$. Nevertheless, this approach was succesfully realized in the papers [22, 21, 12] for metrics (A),(B),(D) respectively. This approach was partially used in the paper [14] for metrics (C).

2.2 Reduction theorem for minimal submanifolds. Let M be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a metric g' and let G be a compact group effectively acting on M by isometries. For every point $x \in M$ let us denote by G_x the stability subgroup of x.

Definition 4. *For two points $x, y \in M$ we say that $x \preccurlyeq y$ if $G_x \subset gG_yg^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. The orbit Gx is the orbit of principal type if for any point $y \in M$ one has $x \preccurlyeq y$.*

Let M^* stand for the union of all orbits of principal type, then M^* is an open dense submanifold of M (see the paper [17]). Moreover, M^*/G carries a natural smooth structure induced by a projection $\pi\colon M^* \to M^*/G$. Furthermore, M^*/G is equipped with a natural Riemannian metric g defined by the formula $g(X, Y) = g'(X', Y')$, where X, Y are tangent vectors at $x \in M^*/G$ and X', Y' are tangent vectors at a point $x' \in \pi^{-1}(x) \subset M^*$ such that X' and Y' are orthogonal to the orbit $\pi^{-1}(x)$ and $d\pi(X') = X$, $d\pi(Y') = Y$.

Let $f\colon N \looparrowright M$ be a G-invariant immersed submanifold, i.e. a manifold equipped with an action of G by isometries such that $g \cdot f(x) = f(g \cdot x)$ for any $x \in N$.

Definition 5. *A cohomogeneity of a G-invariant immersed submanifold N is the number $\dim N - \nu$, where ν is the dimension of the orbits of principal type.*

Let us define for $x \in M^*/G$ a volume function $V(x)$ by the formula $V(x) = \mathrm{Vol}(\pi^{-1}(x))$. Also for each integer $k \geqslant 1$ let us define a metric $g_k = V^{\frac{2}{k}} g$.

Proposition 3 (Hsiang, Lawson [9])**.** *Let $f\colon N \looparrowright M^*$ be a G-invariant immersed submanifold of cohomogeneity k, and let M^*/G be equipped with the metric g_k. Then $f\colon N \looparrowright M^*$ is minimal if and only if $\bar{f}\colon N/G \looparrowright M^*/G$ is minimal.*

2.3 Otsuki tori. Otsuki tori were introduced by Otsuki in the paper [20]. Let us recall the concise description by Penskoi from the paper [22]. For more details see Section 1.2 of the paper [22]. Consider the action of $SO(2)$ on the three-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ given by the formula

$$\alpha \cdot (x, y, z, t) = (\cos\alpha x + \sin\alpha y, -\sin\alpha x + \cos\alpha y, z, t),$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ is a coordinate on $SO(2)$. The space of orbits $\mathbb{S}^3/SO(2)$ is the closed half-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2_+$,

$$q^2 + z^2 + t^2 = 1, \qquad q \geqslant 0,$$

where a point (q, z, t) corresponds to the orbit $(q\cos\alpha, q\sin\alpha, z, t) \in \mathbb{S}^3$. The space of principal orbits $(\mathbb{S}^3)^*/SO(2)$ is the open half sphere $\mathbb{S}^2_{>0} = \{(q, z, t) \in \mathbb{S}^2 | q > 0\}$. It is natural to introduce the spherical coordinates in the space of orbits,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} t & = & \cos\varphi\sin\theta, \\ z & = & \cos\varphi\cos\theta, \\ q & = & \sin\varphi. \end{array}\right.$$

Since we look for minimal submanifolds of cohomogeneity 1, the Hsiang-Lawson's metric is given by the formula

$$V^2(d\varphi^2 + \cos^2\varphi d\theta^2) = 4\pi^2\sin^2\varphi(d\varphi^2 + \cos^2\varphi d\theta^2). \tag{3}$$

Definition 6. *An immersed minimal $SO(2)$-invariant two-dimensional torus in $\mathbb{S}^3$ such that its image by the projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^3/SO(2)$ is a closed geodesics in $(\mathbb{S}^3)^*/SO(2)$ endowed with the metric (3) is called an Otsuki torus.*

The following proposition can be found in the paper [22].

Proposition 4. *Except one particular case given by the equation $\psi = \frac{\pi}{4}$, Otsuki tori are in one-to-one correspondence with rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{p}{q} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \qquad p, q > 0,\ (p, q) = 1.$$

Definition 7. *By $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$ we denote the Otsuki torus corresponding to $\frac{p}{q}$. Following the paper [22] we reserve the term "Otsuki tori" for the tori $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$.*

In order to fix notations we give a sketch of the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof. Let us use the standard notation for the coefficients of the metric (3),

$$E = 4\pi^2 \sin^2 \varphi, \qquad G = 4\pi^2 \sin^2 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi.$$

As we know the velocity vector of a geodesic has a constant length. Suppose this length equals 1. Then this assumption as well as the equation of geodesics for $\ddot{\theta}$ provides the following two equations,

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\sin a \cos a}{2\pi \cos^2 \varphi \sin^2 \varphi}, \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{\varphi}^2 = \frac{\sin^2 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi - \sin^2 a \cos^2 a}{4\pi^2 \sin^4 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi}, \tag{5}$$

where a is the minimal value of φ on the geodesic. Then the geodesic is situated in the annulus $a \leqslant \varphi \leqslant \dfrac{\pi}{2} - a$. We choose a natural parameter t such that $\varphi(0) = a$.

Let us denote by $\Omega(a)$ the difference between the value of θ corresponding to $\varphi = a$ and the closest to it value of θ corresponding to $\varphi = \dfrac{\pi}{2} - a$. It is clear that

$$\Omega(a) = \sin a \cos a \int\limits_{a}^{\frac{\pi}{2} - a} \frac{d\varphi}{\cos \varphi \sqrt{\sin^2 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi - \sin^2 a \cos^2 a}}.$$

The geodesic is closed iff $\Omega(a) = \dfrac{p}{q}\pi$. The rest of the proof follows from the following properties of the function $\Omega(a)$, see the paper [20],

1) $\Omega(a)$ is continuous and monotonous on $\left(0, \dfrac{\pi}{4}\right]$,

2) $\lim\limits_{a \to 0+} \Omega(a) = \dfrac{\pi}{2}$ and $\Omega\left(\dfrac{\pi}{4}\right) = \dfrac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$.

$\square$

2.4 Estimates for $\Lambda_{2p-1}(O_{\frac{p}{q}})$.

According to the paper [22], the metric on an Otsuki torus $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$ is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{2p-1}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *For p, q, such that $(p, q) = 1$ and $\dfrac{1}{2} < \dfrac{p}{q} < \dfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, the following inequality holds,*

$$8\pi \left(2p - 2 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > \Lambda_{2p-1}(O_{\frac{p}{q}}).$$

Proposition 2 implies non-maximality of metrics on $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$.

In order to prove Proposition 5 we have to prove several auxiliary propositions.

Proposition 6. *For* $a \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$ *such that* $\Omega(a) = \dfrac{p}{q}\pi$ *one has*

$$\Lambda_{2p-1}(O_{\frac{p}{q}}) = 8\pi q \cos a E\left(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}\right).$$

Proof. Let us use the notations of Proposition 4. As we know,

$$\dot{\varphi} = \pm\frac{\sqrt{G-c^2}}{\sqrt{EG}},$$

therefore, the length of the segment on the geodesic $\pi(O_{\frac{p}{q}})$ between the closest points with $\varphi = a$ and $\varphi = \dfrac{\pi}{2} - a$ is equal to $2\pi I$, where

$$I = \int\limits_{a}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-a} \frac{\sin\varphi}{\sqrt{1-\dfrac{\sin^2 a\cos^2 a}{\sin^2\varphi\cos^2\varphi}}}d\varphi.$$

Let us express I in terms of elliptic integrals,

$$I = \int\limits_{\sin a}^{\cos a} \frac{x\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\sqrt{x^2(1-x^2)-\cos^2 a\sin^2 a}}dx = \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\sin^2 a}^{\cos^2 a} \frac{\sqrt{1-u}}{\sqrt{u(1-u)-\cos^2 a\sin^2 a}} =$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{(1-\sin^2 a)-(\cos^2 a-\sin^2 a)t}}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}dt = \frac{1}{2}\cos a\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{\sqrt{1-(1-\tan^2 a)t}}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}dt =$$
$$\cos a\int\limits_{0}^{1}\frac{\sqrt{1-(1-\tan^2 a)y^2}}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} = \cos a E(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}).$$

Here the following changes of variables were used, $\cos\varphi = x$, $x^2 = u$, $u = (\cos^2 a - \sin^2 a)t + \sin^2 a$, $t = y^2$. Since the maps $\theta \mapsto \theta + \theta_0$ and $\theta \mapsto \theta_0 - \theta$ are isometries, the length of the geodesic $\pi(O_{\frac{p}{q}})$ is equal to $4\pi q\cos a E(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a})$. By Proposition 13 from the paper [22], $\Lambda_{2p-1}(O_{\frac{p}{q}})$ is equal to the doubled length of the geodesic $\pi(O_{\frac{p}{q}})$. □

Proposition 7. *For* $k \in [0,1]$ *one has the following inequality,*

$$K(k) - \frac{2}{2-k^2}E(k) \geqslant 0.$$

Proof. Let us expand the left hand side using the definitions of E and K,

$$K(k) - \frac{2}{2-k^2}E(k) = \int\limits_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}} - \frac{2}{2-k^2}\int\limits_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}\,d\theta =$$
$$\frac{k^2}{2-k^2}\int\limits_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}}d\theta.$$

Since the integrand is negative on $(0, \frac{\pi}{4})$ and positive on $(\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, one has

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}} d\theta = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}} d\theta + \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2\theta}} d\theta \geqslant$$
$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{k^2}{2}}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{k^2}{2}}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{k^2}{2}}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos 2\theta d\theta = 0.$$

□

Let us introduce the notation

$$\Phi(a) = \cos a E\left(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}\right).$$

Proposition 8. *The function* $\Phi(a)$ *is non-decreasing and* $\Phi'(a) < \dfrac{1}{2}$ *for any* $a \in \left(0, \dfrac{\pi}{4}\right)$. *In particular,* $1 = \Phi(0) \leqslant \Phi(a) \leqslant \Phi\left(\dfrac{\pi}{4}\right) = \dfrac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$.

Corollary 1. *One has*

$$4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 q \geqslant \Lambda_{2p-1}(O_{\frac{p}{q}}) \geqslant 8\pi q. \tag{6}$$

Remark. Let us remark that during the preparation of the manuscript inequality (6) appeared in the paper [10].

Proof of Proposition 8. Let us recall the following formulae for the derivatives of elliptic integrals,

$$\frac{dE(k)}{dk} = \frac{E(k)-K(k)}{k}, \qquad \frac{dK(k)}{dk} = \frac{E(k)}{k(1-k^2)} - \frac{K(k)}{k} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Pi(n,k)}{\partial n} &= \frac{1}{2(k^2-n)(n-1)}\left(E(k) + \frac{1}{n}(k^2-n)K(k) + \frac{1}{n}(n^2-k^2)\Pi(n,k)\right), \\ \frac{\partial \Pi(n,k)}{\partial k} &= \frac{k}{n-k^2}\left(\frac{E(k)}{k^2-1} + \Pi(n,k)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let us introduce a notation $\beta = \sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi'(a) = \cos a\left(-2\tan a \frac{E(\beta)-K(\beta)}{2\cos^2 a(1-\tan^2 a)}\right) - \sin a E(\beta) = \\ &-\sin a\left(E(\beta) + \frac{E(\beta)-K(\beta)}{\cos^2 a - \sin^2 a}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\beta^2)(2-\beta^2)}}{\beta^2}\left(K(\beta) - \frac{2}{2-\beta^2}E(\beta)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now the monotonicity of the function $\Phi(a)$ follows from Proposition 7.

For the proof of the second part, let us go back to formula (9). One has

$$\Phi'(a) = -\sin a\left(\frac{2\cos^2 aE(\beta) - K(\beta)}{\cos^2 a - \sin^2 a}\right) =$$

$$-\frac{\sin a}{\cos^2 a - \sin^2 a}\int\limits_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{2\cos^2 a(1-\beta^2\sin^2\theta) - 1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2\sin^2\theta}}d\theta = \sin a\int\limits_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2\sin^2\theta}}d\theta \leqslant$$

$$\sin a\int\limits_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}d\theta = -\cos a\int\limits_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\cos 2\theta d\theta = \cos a\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\Big|_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 8. ☐

Proposition 9. *The function* $\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega(a) - \Phi(a)$ *is increasing on the interval* $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$.

Proof. In the paper [10] the following formula was given,

$$\Omega(a) = \frac{1}{\sin a}\Pi\left(-\frac{\cos 2a}{\sin^2 a}, \sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}\right).$$

Using formulae (8) one obtains the following formula,

$$\frac{d\Omega(a)}{da} = \frac{1}{\cos a\cos 2a}K\left(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}\right) - \frac{2\cos a}{\cos 2a}E\left(\sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}\right).$$

Let us recall the notation $\beta(a) = \sqrt{1-\tan^2 a}$. Then one has

$$\Omega'(a) = \frac{(2-\beta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\beta^2}\left(K(\beta) - \frac{2}{2-\beta^2}E(\beta)\right) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\Omega(a) = \sqrt{\frac{2-\beta^2}{1-\beta^2}}\Pi\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{1-\beta^2}, \beta\right).$$

Moreover, by formula (9) one has

$$\Phi'(a) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\beta^2)(2-\beta^2)}}{\beta^2}\left(K(\beta) - \frac{2}{2-\beta^2}E(\beta)\right).$$

The inequality $\frac{2}{\pi}(2-\beta^2) - \sqrt{1-\beta^2} > 0$ and Lemma 7 imply the inequality

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega'(a) - \Phi'(a) = \frac{\sqrt{2-\beta^2}}{k^2}\left(K(\beta) - \frac{2}{2-\beta^2}E(\beta)\right)\left(\frac{2}{\pi}(2-\beta^2) - \sqrt{1-\beta^2}\right) > 0.$$

☐

Corollary 2. *For* $a \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ *one has*

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega(a) - \Phi(a) > \frac{2\sqrt{3} - \pi}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

Proof. Using the tables of elliptic integrals, e.g. the book [2], one obtains the inequality

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) > \frac{2\sqrt{3}-\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

The rest of the proof follows from the monotonicity of the function on the left hand side. ☐

Proposition 10. *For* $\xi \in \left[0, \frac{1}{5}\right]$ *one has*

$$\Omega'(\xi) > \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} - 1\right)^{-1}$$

Proof. By formula (10) for $\xi \in \left[0, \frac{1}{5}\right]$ one has

$$\Omega'(\xi) = \frac{(2-\beta(\xi)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\beta(\xi)^2}\left(K(\beta(\xi)) - \frac{2}{2-\beta(\xi)^2}E(\beta(\xi))\right) \geqslant$$
$$K\left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right) - 2\frac{2-\beta^2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)}{\beta^2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)}E\left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right).$$

In the last inequality we used the facts that $K(k)$ is increasing function and $E(k)$ as well as $\beta(a)$ are decreasing functions. The table of the elliptic integrals in the book [2] provides the inequality

$$K\left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right) - 2\frac{2-\beta^2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)}{\beta^2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)}E\left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right) > \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} - 1\right)^{-1}$$

which completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Proposition 5. We want to prove that

$$8\pi\left(2p - 2 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > 8\pi q\Phi(a),$$

where $\Omega(a) = \frac{p}{q}\pi$. This inequality is equivalent to the following one

$$2\frac{p}{q} - \frac{2\sqrt{3}-\pi}{q\sqrt{3}} > \Phi(a).$$

Since $\Omega(a) = \frac{p}{q}\pi$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega(a) - \Phi(a) > \frac{2\sqrt{3}-\pi}{q\sqrt{3}}. \tag{11}$$

Since $q \geqslant 3$, the application of Corollary 2 provides inequality (11) for $a \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$. In order to prove inequality for $a \in \left[0, \frac{1}{5}\right]$ let us note that

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega(a) - \Phi(a) = \frac{2}{\pi}(\Omega(a) - \Omega(0)) - (\Phi(a) - \Phi(0)) =$$
$$a\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega'(\xi) - \Phi'(\eta)\right) \geqslant a\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega'(\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in (0, a)$ by Proposition 8. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2q}\pi \geqslant \frac{2p-q}{2q}\pi = \frac{p}{q}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\pi = \Omega(a) - \Omega(0) = a\Omega'(\xi)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{q} < \frac{2a}{\pi}\Omega'(\xi).$$

Therefore, inequality (11) follows from the inequality

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\Omega'(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} > \frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\Omega'(\xi)$$

or the inequality

$$\Omega'(\xi) > \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} - 1\right)^{-1}.$$

The last inequality easily follows from Proposition 10. $\square$

3 Lawson surfaces

A Lawson tau-surface $\tau_{m,k}$ is an immersed surface in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ defined by the double-periodic immersion of $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by the formula

$$(\cos mx \cos y, \sin mx \cos y, \cos kx \sin y, \sin kx \sin y).$$

It was introduced by Lawson in the paper [15]. He also proved that for each pair $\{m, k\}$, such that $m \geqslant k \geqslant 1$ and $(m, k) = 1$, the surface $\tau_{m,k}$ is a distinct compact minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^3$. Let us assume that $(m, k) = 1$ then if both m and k are odd then $\tau_{m,k}$ is a torus, we call it a Lawson torus. Otherwise $\tau_{m,k}$ is a Klein bottle, we call it a Lawson Klein bottle.

Proposition 11 (Penskoi [21])**.** *Let $\tau_{m,k}$ be a Lawson surface. Then the induced metric on $\tau_{m,k}$ is an extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_j(M, g)$, where*

$$j = 2\left[\frac{\sqrt{m^2+k^2}}{2}\right] + m + k - 1, \tag{12}$$

$M = \mathbb{T}^2$ if both m, k are odd and $M = \mathbb{K}\mathrm{l}$ otherwise.

The corresponding value of the functional is

$$\Lambda_j(\tau_{m,k}) = 8\pi m E\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right).$$

Proposition 12. *Let j be defined by formula (12). If $\tau_{m,k}$ is a Lawson torus, then*

$$\Lambda_j(\tau_{m,k}) < 8\pi\left(j - 1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right).$$

If $\tau_{m,k}$ is a Klein bottle, then

$$\Lambda_j(\tau_{m,k}) < 8\pi(j-1) + 12\pi E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to obtain the inequality

$$j \geqslant mE\left(\frac{m^2-k^2}{m}\right). \tag{13}$$

Let us remark that the function

$$\varphi(x) = 1 + x - E(\sqrt{1-x^2})$$

is positive on the interval $[0,1]$. Indeed,

$$E(\sqrt{1-x^2}) = \int\limits_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1-(1-x^2)\sin^2\psi}\,d\psi \leqslant \int\limits_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1-(1-x^2)}\,d\psi = \frac{\pi}{2}x.$$

Hence, $\varphi(x) \geqslant 1 + \left(1-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)x \geqslant 0$ for $x \in [0,1]$.

Let us divide both sides of inequality (13) by m and denote by x the ratio $\frac{k}{m} \in [0,1]$. Since

$$\left[\sqrt{\frac{m^2+k^2}{2}}\right] \geqslant \left[\frac{m+k}{2}\right] \geqslant \left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right] > \frac{m}{2},$$

one has that inequality (13) follows from the positivity of $\varphi(x)$. ☐

4 Bipolar surfaces to the Lawson tori

Let $I\colon N \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^3$ be a minimal immersion. A Gauss map $I^*\colon N \to \mathbb{S}^3$ is defined pointwise as the image of the unit normal in $\mathbb{S}^3$ translated to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then the exterior product $\tilde{I} = I \wedge I^*$ is an immersion of N in $\mathbb{S}^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^6$. Lawson proved in the paper [15] that this immersion is minimal. The image $\tilde{I}(N)$ is called a bipolar surface to N.

Let us denote by $\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}$ the bipolar surface to the surface $\tau_{m,k}$. Lapointe proved in the paper [14] that

- if $mk \equiv 0 \mod 2$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}$ is a torus carrying the extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_{4m-2}(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ and $\Lambda_{4m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}) = 16\pi mE\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right)$;
- if $mk \equiv 1 \mod 4$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}$ is a torus carrying the extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_{2m-2}(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ and $\Lambda_{2m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}) = 8\pi mE\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right)$;
- if $mk \equiv 3 \mod 4$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}$ is a Klein bottle carrying the extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_{m-2}(\mathbb{K}\mathrm{l},g)$ and $\Lambda_{m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}) = 4\pi mE\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right)$.

Proposition 13. *If $mk \equiv 1 \mod 4$ then the following inequality holds*

$$8\pi\left(2m-3+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > \Lambda_{2m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}).$$

If $mk \equiv 0 \mod 2$ then the following inequality holds

$$8\pi\left(4m-3+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > \Lambda_{4m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}).$$

If $mk \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and $\{m,k\} \neq \{3,1\}$ then the following inequality holds

$$8\pi(m-3) + 12\pi E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) > \Lambda_{m-2}(\tilde{\tau}_{m,k}).$$

Proof. In order to prove the first inequality it is sufficient to prove that

$$mE\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right) \leqslant (2m-2). \tag{14}$$

It is well-known that $E(\tilde{k}) \leqslant \dfrac{\pi}{2}$ for $\tilde{k} \in [0,1]$. This implies that it is sufficient to prove that

$$\pi m \leqslant 4m - 4.$$

This inequality holds for $m \geqslant 5$. The statement for $\tilde{\tau}_{1,1}$ follows from the fact that $\tilde{\tau}_{1,1}$ is a Clifford torus and $\Lambda_1(\tau_{1,1}) = 4\pi^2$.

In the same way, in order to prove the second inequality in Proposition 13 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\pi m \leqslant 4m - 3 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

This inequality holds for $m \geqslant 2$.

The third inequality is equivalent the following one

$$2(m-3) + 3E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) > mE\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}\right).$$

Since $E(\tilde{k}) < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$ it is sufficient to prove that

$$\left(2 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)m > 6 - 3E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right).$$

This inequality holds for $m \geqslant 7$. For the exceptional case $(m,k) = (5,3)$ one verifies the third inequality explicitly using the tables of elliptic integrals in the book [2]. □

5 Bipolar surfaces to Otsuki tori

In the paper [12] the following proposition was proved.

Proposition 14. *The bipolar surface $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ to an Otsuki torus $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$ is a torus. If q is odd then the metric on bipolar Otsuki torus $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{2q+4p-2}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ and $\Lambda_{2q+4p-2}(\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}) < 4\sqrt{2}q\pi^2$. If q is even then the metric on bipolar Otsuki torus $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{q+2p-2}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ and $\Lambda_{q+2p-2}(\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}) < 2\sqrt{2}q\pi^2$.*

Proposition 15. *If q is even then the following inequality holds,*

$$8\pi\left(q + 2p - 3 + \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > \Lambda_{q+2p-2}(\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}).$$

If q is odd then one has the following inequality,

$$8\pi\left(2q + 4p - 3 + \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > \Lambda_{2q+4p-2}(\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}).$$

Proof. If q is even, then we have

$$8\pi\left(q+2p-3+\frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > 8\pi(q+2p-2) > 12\pi q > 2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 q.$$

We used the inequalities $2p > q$ and $p > 1$ in order to prove the last inequality. In the same way, if q is odd, then we have

$$8\pi\left(2q+4p-3+\frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right) > 8\pi(2q+4p-2) > 24\pi q > 4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 q.$$

□

Now it is easy to see that Propositions 5, 12, 13, 15 together with Proposition 2 imply Theorem 1.

6 Clifford torus

Let us represent the Clifford torus as a flat torus with the square lattice with edges equal to 2π. In this case the Laplace-Beltrami coinsides up to a sign with the classical two-dimensional Laplace operator. Therefore, using the separation of variables one obtains that the eigenfunctions are

$$\sin nx \sin my,\ \sin nx \cos ly,\ \cos kx \sin my,\ \cos kx \cos ly,$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then, the eigenvalues are equal to n^2+m^2, n^2+l^2, k^2+m^2, k^2+l^2 respectively.

Proposition 16. *For the Clifford torus the Weyl's counting function $N(\lambda)$ is equal to the number of integer points in the open disk of radius $\sqrt{\lambda}$ with the center at the point $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. Let us introduce an one-to-one correspondence ν between eigenfunctions and integer points in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We set

$$\begin{cases} \nu(\sin nx \sin my) &= (n, m), \\ \nu(\sin nx \cos ly) &= (n, -l), \\ \nu(\cos kx \sin my) &= (-k, m), \\ \nu(\cos kx \cos ly) &= (-k, -l). \end{cases}$$

Let us also remark that the eigenvalue of the function f is equal to the squared distance between $(0,0)$ and $\nu(f)$. This completes the proof. □

6.1 Proof of Proposition 1.

It is easy to check that the functions

$$(\sin kx, \cos kx, \sin ky, \cos ky)$$

form an isometrical immersion of Clifford torus in the unit sphere. The same is true for

$$(\sin kx \sin ky, \sin kx \cos ky, \cos kx \sin ky, \cos kx \cos ky)$$

and

$$(\sin kx \sin ly, \sin kx \cos ly, \cos kx \sin ly, \cos kx \cos ly,$$
$$\sin lx \sin ky, \sin lx \cos ky, \cos lx \sin ky, \cos lx \cos ky),$$

where $k \neq l$. Therefore, according to Theorem 4, the metric on the Clifford torus is extremal for the functionals $\Lambda_{N(r^2)}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$, where $r^2 = n^2 + m^2$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\Lambda_{N(r^2)}(\mathbb{T}_{Cl}) = 4\pi^2 r^2$.

Let B_r be a disc of radius r. Then one has a simple estimate

$$N(r^2) \geqslant \operatorname{Area}\left(B_{r-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}\right) = \pi\left(r - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^2.$$

So, it is sufficient to prove that

$$2\left(r - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^2 > r^2$$

and this inequality holds for $r^2 \geqslant 6$. And for $r^2 < 6$ holds the inequality $8\pi N(r^2) > 4\pi r^2$. This inequality can be obtained by the direct enumeration of all possible values of r^2 (the possible values are $1, 2, 4, 5$). This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

Acknowledgements The author thanks A.V. Penskoi for the statement of this problem, fruitful discussions and invaluable help in the preparation of the manuscript.

The research of the author was partially supported by Dobrushin Fellowship.

References

[1] M. Berger, Sur les premières valeurs propres des varétés Riemanniennes, *Compositio Math.* **26** (1973), 129-149.

[2] P. Byrd, M. Friedman. *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists,* Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.

[3] I. Chavel, E. A. Feldman, Spectra of manifolds with small handles, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **56** (1981), 83-102.

[4] B. Colbois, A. El Soufi, Extremal eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a conformal class of metrics: the "Conformal Spectrum", *Ann. of Global Analysis and Geometry* **24** 2003, 337-349. Preprint `arXiv:math/0409316`.

[5] A. El Soufi, H. Giacomini, M. Jazar, A unique extremal metric for the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the Klein bottle. *Duke Math. J.* **135**:1 (2006), 181-202. Preprint `arXiv:math/0701773`.

[6] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersions by their first eigenfunctions. *Pacific. J. Math.* **195**:1 (2000), 91-99.

[7] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, Laplacian eigenvalues functionals and metric deformations on compact manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **58**:1 (2008), 89-104. Preprint `arXiv:math/0701777`.

[8] J. Hersch, Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B* **270** (1970), A1645-A1648.

[9] W.-Y. Hsiang, H. B. Lawson, Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity. *J. Diff. Geom.* **5** (1971), 1-38.

[10] Z. Hu, H. Song, On Otsuki tori and their Willmore energy. *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.* **395**:2 (2012), 465-473.

[11] D. Jakobson, N. Nadirashvili, I. Polterovich, Extremal Metric for the First Eigenvalue on a Klein Bottle. *Canad. J. Math.* **58**:2 (2006), 381-400. Preprint `arXiv:math/0311484`.

[12] M. A. Karpukhin, Spectral properties of bipolar surfaces to Otsuki tori. Submitted to the *European J. of Spectral Theory.* Preprint `arXiv:1205.6316`

[13] N. Korevaar, Upper bounds for eigenvalues of conformal metrics. *J. Differential Geom.* **37**:1 (1993), 79-93.

[14] H. Lapointe, Spectral properties of bipolar minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4$. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **26**:1 (2008), 9-22. Preprint `arXiv:math/0511443`.

[15] H. B. Lawson, Complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$. *Ann. of Math.* **92** (1970), 335-374.

[16] P. Li, S.-T. Yau, A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces. *Invent. Math.* **69**:2 (1982), 269-291.

[17] D. Montgomery, H. Samelson, C. T. Yang, Exceptional orbits of highest dimension, *Ann. of Math.* **64** (1956), 131-141.

[18] N. Nadirashvili, Berger's isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal* **6**:5 (1996), 877-897.

[19] N. Nadirashvili, Isoperimetric inequality for the second eigenvalue of a sphere. *J. Differential Geom.* **61**:2 (2002), 335-340.

[20] T. Otsuki, Minimal hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature. *Amer. J. Math.* **92**:1 (1970), 145-173.

[21] A. V. Penskoi, Extremal spectral properties of Lawson tau-surfaces and the Lamé equation. *Moscow Math. J.* **12**:1 (2012), 173-192. Preprint `arXiv:1009.0285`.

[22] A. V. Penskoi, Extremal spectral properties of Otsuki tori. To appear in *Matematicsche Nachrichten.* Preprint `arXiv:1108.5160`.

[23] P. C. Yang, S.-T. Yau, Eigenvalues of the laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **7**:1 (1980), 55-63.

DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY, GSP-1, 119991, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

and

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOLSHOY VLASYEVSKIY PEREULOK 11, 119002, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `karpukhin@mccme.ru`